Ethnicity and the use of coercive measures in mental health inpatient settings: a scoping review

Martin Locht Pedersen^{ab}, Frederik Gildberg^b, John Baker^c, Janne Brammer Damsgaard^a and Ellen Tingleff^{bde}

Background

Reducing the use of coercive measures in mental health will improve clinical practice.

However, coercive measures are still widely used in mental health settings.

Evidence suggest that ethnic minority inpatients are particularly vulnerable to coercive measures.

Aim

To review the existing research literature on adult inpatients' ethnicity associated with the use of coercive measures in mental health settings.

^aDepartment of Public Health, Aarhus University; ^bForensic Mental Health Research Unit Middelfart (RFM), Department of Regional Health Research, Faculty of Health Science, University of Southern Denmark & Psychiatric dept. Middelfart, Mental Health Services in the Region of Southern Denmark; ^cSchool of Healthcare, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK; ^dNursing Education, Vejle, and Health Sciences Research Center, UCL University College, Denmark; ^eOPEN, Odense Patient data Explorative Network, Odense University Hospital/Department of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark









Methods

A scoping review performed in 2021.

Databases CINAHL PubMed Scopus PsycINFO Embase

Additional searches

Search engine Grey database Organisations Reference lists Other sources

Expected outcomes

An overview of the characteristics of the included studies, and the use of coercive measures in relation to inpatients' ethnicity.

Characteristics

Year of publication Journal focus Study design and methods Country of origin Mental healthcare setting Inpatients' ethnicity

Coercive measures

Physical restraint Mechanical restraint Seclusion Forced medication

